AMS JOURNAL STYLE
Volume 00, Number 0, 1997

arXiv:math/0401286v1 [math.RT] 22 Jan 2004

ON ISOTROPIC BESSEL MODELS FOR PRINCIPAL SERIES REPRESENTATIONS OF $SO_{n,n+1}(\mathbb{R})$

DAVID GOLDBERG

Abstract. We show that principal series representations for $SO_{n+1,n}(\mathbb{R})$ have non-vanishing rank one Bessel models of a very particular type. The Bessel functionals are explicitly constructed with respect to an $SO_{2,1}(\mathbb{R})$–isotropic vector in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Introduction. Whittaker models have been crucial in the development of the theory of automorphic forms. Within the Langlands-Shahidi method, Whittaker models give rise to the definition of local coefficients [**Sh1,Sh2**], which proved critical in establishing the proof of Langlands's conjecture relating local L–functions to Plancherel measures for generic representations [**Sh3**]. This, in turn, led to the recent breakthroughs in functoriality [**K,KS**], the transfer of generic cusp forms from classical groups to general linear groups [**CKPSS1,CKPSS2**], and progress on the local Langlands conjecture for SO_{2n+1} [**JS**].

This raises the question of whether other models can be used to obtain similar results for the non-generic spectrum. In [**FG**] we used the generalized Bessel models of [**GPSR**] to give some preliminary results on local coefficients for non-generic representations of certain classical groups. There, the analog of Rodier's Theorem is established, subject to a minimality condition, and this leads to results on local coefficients of the type in [**Sh1**]. In order to carry the philosophy of the Langlands-Shahidi method forward for non-generic representations of these groups, several questions need to be answered. In particular, the minimality condition has to be relaxed or removed, the analog of the results of [**Sh2**] need to be established for these models, and global methods will need to be exploited to obtain results relating Plancherel measures and L–functions.

In addressing the questions on local coefficients for Bessel models of groups over archimedean fields, several issues arise, including the existence and uniqueness of such models. Here we address the existence of Bessel models for principal series of $G = SO_{n,n+1}(\mathbb{R})$. By the Casselman Embedding Theorem, every irreducible representation is a subquotient of such a principal series. Thus, we wish to investigate to what extent principal series have generalized Bessel models. We show that every such representation has a Bessel model with respect to a very particular character of a subgroup of $SO_{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$. In the language [**FG**] this model is rank one, and as remarked there [**loc cit, Remark 2.10**], the minimality condition is automatically satisfied. Thus, one can hope to carry out the steps outlined above. The Rodier result can be establsihed for these models, i.e., one can establish that the dimension of the space of Bessel models with compatible parameters is preserved under

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22E500, Secondary 22E35.

©1997 American Mathematical Society

parabolic induction. We also hope to prove the existence of local coefficient in this case, and to show that these local coefficients are given by Artin L–functions. However, it seems unlikely that the models described in this note will be sufficient to handle the most general case. We expect that models of all types and ranks may be necessary to fully generalize the work of [**Sh2**]. All this will be the subject of further work.

The author wishes to thank Jeff Adams, Sol Firedberg, Freydoon Shahidi, and Ramin Takloo-Bighash for discussions useful in this work.

Section 1: Preliminaries.

Let $G = SO_{n,n+1}(\mathbb{R})$, defined with respect to the form

$$J_{2n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & & \\ \cdot & & & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

We Let $B = M_0A_0U$ be the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices in G, and its Langlands decomposition. Then,

$$M_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 &&&&&&& \\ & \delta_2 &&&&&& \\ && \ddots &&&&& \\ &&& \delta_n &&&& \\ &&&& 1 &&& \\ &&&&& \delta_n^{-1} && \\ &&&&&& \ddots & \\ &&&&&&& \delta_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \middle| \delta_i \in \{\pm 1\} \right\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^n$$

and

$$A_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 &&&&&&& \\ & x_2 &&&&&& \\ && \ddots &&&&& \\ &&& x_n &&&& \\ &&&& 1 &&& \\ &&&&& x_n^{-1} && \\ &&&&&& \ddots & \\ &&&&&&& x_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \middle| x_i \in \mathbb{R}^+ \right\}.$$

The unipotent radical U is the set of upper triangular unipotent matrices in G. We denote by Φ the roots of A_0 in G, and by $\Delta = \{e_1 - e_2, \dots e_{n-1} - e_n, e_n\}$ the simple roots. Let ρ be half the sum of the positive roots. We denote by $W = W(G, A_0) = N_G(A_0)/A_0$ the Weyl group.

Now let $U_1 \subset U$ be the group of unipotent upper triangular matrices whose middle 3×3 block is the indentity matrix. Define

$$M_1 = Z_G(U_1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} && \\ & h & \\ && I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \big| h \in SO_{1,2}(\mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

Let $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be given by $\psi(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$. Fix a non-zero element $\mathbf{a} = (a, b, c)$ of $\mathbb{R}^3$ and define a character $\chi_{\mathbf{a}} = \chi$ of U_1 by

$$\chi((u_{ij}) = \psi(u_{12} + u_{23} + \cdots + u_{n-2,n-1} + au_{n-1,n} + bu_{n-1,n+1} + cu_{n-1,n+2}).$$

Let $M_\chi = \{g \in M_1 | \chi^g = \chi\}$. Then M_χ is isomorphic to the stabilizer of $\mathbf{a}$ in $SO_{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$. Set $R_\chi = M_\chi U_1$. For an irreducible (Frechet) representation ω of M_χ, we denote by ω_χ its extension to R_χ by χ.

Definition 1.1. We say that a Frechet representation Π of G has an ω_χ–Bessel model if $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\Pi, \mathrm{Ind}_{R_\chi}^G(\omega_\chi)) \neq 0$. Here, Ind means diffrentiably induced.

Let $\mathfrak{a}^*$ be the dual of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of A_0, and set $\mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{a}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ to be the complexification of $\mathfrak{a}^*$. If $\eta \in \hat{M}_0$ and $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$, we let $\pi_{\nu,\eta} = \mathrm{Ind}_B^H(\eta e^\nu)$. Let $V(\nu, \eta)$ be the space of $\pi_{\nu,\eta}$. Thus,

$$V(\nu,\eta) = \left\{ f \in C_c^\infty(G) \,|\, f(m_0 a_0 u g) = \eta(m_0)^{-1} e^{-(\nu+\rho)\log a_0} f(g) \text{ for all } m_0 a_0 u \in B, g \in G \right\}.$$

We will show that these representations always have Bessel models with respect to a particular character χ.

Section 2 The main theorem.

We consider the special case where $\chi = \chi_v$ with $v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1/2 \end{pmatrix}$. Note that v is isotropic with respect to our form. If $v_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then we can choose $g_0 \in SO_{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $g_0 v_0 = v$. In particular, we may take

$$g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1/2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the stablizier M_{v_0} of v_0 is given by

$$M_{v_0} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} I_{n-1} & & & & \\ & 1 & x & -x^2/2 & \\ & 0 & 1 & -x & \\ & 0 & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & & I_{n-1} \end{array} \right) \middle| x \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = U_{e_n},$$

which is the unipotent subgroup associated to the short simple root e_n. Then $M_\chi = M_v = g_0 M_{v_0} g_0^{-1}$, and we find by direct computation that

$$M_\chi = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} I_{n-1} & & & & \\ & (\frac{x}{2}+1)^2 & \frac{x^2}{2} + x & -\frac{x^2}{2} & \\ & -\frac{x}{2}(1+\frac{x}{2}) & 1 - \frac{x^2}{2} & \frac{x^2}{2} - x & \\ & -\frac{x^2}{8} & \frac{x}{2}(1-\frac{x}{2}) & (\frac{x}{2}-1)^2 & \\ & & & & I_{n-1} \end{array} \right) \middle| x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we let

$$m(x) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & & & & \\ & (\frac{x}{2}-1)^2 & \frac{x^2}{2}+x & -\frac{x^2}{2} & \\ & -\frac{x}{2}(1+\frac{x}{2}) & 1-\frac{x^2}{2} & \frac{x^2}{2}-x & \\ & -\frac{x^2}{8} & \frac{x}{2}(1-\frac{x}{2}) & (\frac{x}{2}-1)^2 & \\ & & & & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and let $X_{e_n}(x) = g_0^{-1} m(x) g_0$. As M_χ is abelian, any irreducible representation of M_χ is a character. We fix such a character ω, and note that it is of the form $\omega(m(x)) = e^{2\pi i c x}$ for some $c \in [0,1)$.

Definition 2.1. Let χ and ω be as above. Define, formally, a functional $\Lambda_{\chi,\omega}$ on $V(\nu,\eta)$ by

$$\Lambda_{\chi,\omega}(f) = \int_{R_\chi} f(w_0^{-1} m u)\chi(u)^{-1}\omega(m)^{-1}\, dm\, du, \tag{2.1}$$

where $w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} & & J_n \\ & -1 & \\ J_n & & \end{pmatrix}$ represents the longest element in the Weyl group.

Let δ_{R_χ} be the modular function on R_χ. We note that if $u_1 \in U_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{\chi,\omega}(\pi_{\nu,\eta}(u_1)f) &= \int_{M_\chi}\int_{U_1} f(w_0^{-1} m u u_1)\omega(m^{-1})\chi(u)^{-1}\, du\, dm \\ &= \int_{M_\chi}\int_{U_1} f(w_0^{-1} m u)\chi(u u_1^{-1})^{-1}\omega(m^{-1})\, du\, dm = \chi(u_1)\Lambda_{\chi,\omega}(f). \end{aligned}$$

Also, if $m_1 \in M_\chi$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{\chi,\omega}(\pi_{\nu,\eta}(m_1)f) &= \int_{M_\chi}\int_{U_1} f(w_0^{-1} m u m_1)\omega(m^{-1})\chi(u)^{-1}\, du\, dm \\ &= \int_{M_\chi}\int_{U_1} f(w_0^{-1} m m_1(m_1^{-1} u m_1))\omega(m^{-1})\chi(u)^{-1}\, du\, dm \\ &= \delta_{R_\chi}(m_1)^{1/2}\int_{M_\chi}\int_{U_1} f(w_0^{-1} m m_1 u)\omega(m^{-1})\chi^{m_1}(u)^{-1}\, du\, dm \\ &= \delta_{R_\chi}(m_1)^{1/2}\int_{M_\chi}\int_{U_1} f(w_0^{-1} m u)\omega((m m_1^{-1})^{-1})\chi(u)^{-1}\, du\, dm \\ &= \delta_{R_\chi}(m_1)^{1/2}\omega(m_1)\Lambda_{\chi,\omega}(f). \end{aligned}$$

Now define $B_{\chi,\eta,f}(g) = \Lambda_{\chi,\omega}(\pi_{\nu,\eta}(g)f)$. Then the map $f \mapsto B_{\chi,\eta,f}$ is (formally) a Bessel model for $\pi_{\nu,\eta}$.

Proposition 2.2. *The integral (2.1) converges absolutely for all ν in a half space, with meromorphic continuation to $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. This, then defines a Bessel functional on $V(\nu,\eta)$.*

Proof.
Note that

$$|\Lambda_{\chi,\omega}(f)| \leq \int_{M_\chi U_1} |f(w_0^{-1}mu)|\, dm\, du. \tag{2.2}$$

We note that g_0 normalizes U_1. Then we rewrite the right hand side of (2.2) as

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{U_{e_n}} \int_{U_1} |f(w_0^{-1}g_0X_{e_n}(x)g_0^{-1}u)|\, du\, dx &= \int_{U_{e_n}} \int_{U_1} |f(w_0^{-1}g_0X_{e_n}(x)ug_0^{-1})|\, du\, dx \\ &= \int_U |(\pi_{\nu,\eta}(g_0)^{-1}f(X_{e_n}(-1)w_0^{-1}ug_0)|\, du = \int_U |f(w_0^{-1}ug_0^{-1})|\, du. \end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

Now note that, if $A(\nu,\eta,w_0)$ is the standard intertwining operator, then

$$A(\nu,\eta,w_0)f(g_0^{-1}) = \int_U f(w_0^{-1}ug_0^{-1})\, du,$$

converges absolutely for ν satisfying $\operatorname{Re}\langle\nu,\alpha\rangle > 0$ for all $\alpha > 0$, with meromorphic continuation to all $\mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$. Now (2.3) clearly also converges absoutely for this same half space, and continues meromorphically to all of $\mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$. □

We need to show that $\Lambda_{\chi,\omega} \not\equiv 0$. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(H)$ and define

$$f_\varphi(g) = \sum_{M_0} \int_{A_0U} \eta(m_0)^{-1} e^{-(\nu+\rho)\log a_0} \varphi(m_0^{-1}a_0^{-1}u_0^{-1}g)\, du_0\, da_0.$$

Then $f_\varphi \in V(\nu,\eta)$. Note that

$$\Lambda_{\chi,\omega}(f_\varphi) = \int_{M_\chi}\int_{U_1} f_\varphi(w_0^{-1}mu_1)\chi(u_1^{-1})\omega(m^{-1})\, du_1\, dm$$

$$= \sum_{M_0} \eta(m_0)^{-1} \int_{M_\chi}\int_{U_1}\int_{A_0U} e^{-(\nu+\rho)\log a_0}\varphi(m_0^{-1}a_0^{-1}u_0^{-1}w_0^{-1}mu_1)\omega(m)^{-1}\chi(u_1)^{-1}\, duda_0du_1dm.$$

Let $P = MU_1$ be the standard parabolic subgroup with Levi component

$$M = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & & \\ & g & \\ & & \varepsilon(x) \end{pmatrix} \middle| x \in GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}), g \in SO_{1,2}(\mathbb{R}), \right\}$$

and unipotent radical U_1. Then $M_1 \subset M$, so $M_\chi U_1 \subset P$. Here $\varepsilon(x) = w_{n-1}\,{}^t x^{-1} w_{n-1}^{-1}$. Note that $Bw_0B \subset Bw_0P$ is the open Bruhat cell in the $B\backslash G/P$–decomposition (and $w_0 = w_0^{-1}$). Thus, $M_0A_0Uw_0^{-1}M_\chi U_1 \subset Bw_0P$. So, if $\operatorname{supp}\varphi \cap Bw_0P = \emptyset$, then $\Lambda_{\chi,\omega}(f_\varphi) = 0$.

Lemma 2.2. *The map $B \times M_\chi U_1 \to Bw_0M_\chi U_1$ given by $(b, mu_1) \mapsto bw_0u_1$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Suppose $b_1w_0m(x_1)u_1 = b_2w_0m(x_2)u_2$. Then, $w_0^{-1}b_2^{-1}b_1w_0 = m(x_2)u_2u_1^{-1}m(x_1)^{-1} \in \bar{B} \cap M_\chi U_1$, where $\bar{B}$ is the Borel opposite to B. But noting the block form of the product, and since $M_\chi \cap \bar{B} = \{1\}$, we have $\bar{B} \cap M_\chi U_1$ is also trivial, which proves the lemma. $\square$

Recall that $R_\chi = M_\chi U_1$. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(Bw_0R_\chi)$ be of the form $\varphi(bw_0r) = \varphi_B(b)\varphi(w_0)\varphi_{R_\chi}(r)$, with $\varphi_B \in C_c^\infty(B)$, and $\varphi_{R_\chi} \in C_c^\infty(R_\chi)$. We further assume that $\varphi_{R_\chi}(m(x)u_1) = \varphi_M(x)\varphi_{U_1}(u_1)$, with $\varphi_M \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, and $\varphi_{U_1} \in C_c^\infty(U_1)$.

For $b = m_0a_0u_0 \in M_0A_0U$, we let $\eta(b) = \eta(m_0)$ and $\log b = \log a_0$. Then

$$\Lambda_{\chi,\omega}(f_\varphi) = \int_B \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{U_1} \eta(b)^{-1} e^{-(\nu+\rho)\log b} \varphi_B(b^{-1})\varphi(w_0)\varphi_M(x)\omega(x)^{-1}\varphi_{U_1}(u_1)\chi(u_1)^{-1} du_1\, dx\, db$$

$$= \left(\int_B \varphi_B(b^{-1})\eta(b)^{-1}e^{-(\nu+\rho)\log b}\, db\right)\varphi(w_0)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_M(x)\omega(x)^{-1}\, dx\right)\int_{U_1}\varphi_{U_1}(u_1)\chi(u_1)^{-1}\, du_1$$

$$= \varphi(w_0^{-1})\left(\int_B \varphi_B(b^{-1})\eta(b)^{-1}e^{-(\nu+\rho)\log b}\, db\right)\hat{\varphi}_M(\omega)\hat{\varphi}_{U_1}(\chi),$$

where $\hat{\varphi}_M$ and $\hat{\varphi}_{U_1}$ are Fourier transforms.

We can choose φ_M and φ_{U_1} so that their Fourier transforms at ω and χ, respectively are non-zero. Also, choose φ_B to have small enough (compact) support so that the first factor is non-zero. Then $\Lambda_{\chi,\omega}(f_\varphi) \neq 0$, so we have a non-zero Bessel functional for $\pi_{\nu,\eta}$.

We now state our full result.

Theorem 2.3. *For every η and ν there is an $\omega\chi$–Bessel model for $\pi_{\nu,eta}$, with $\chi = \chi_v$, and $v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1/2 \end{pmatrix}$.* $\square$

References

[**CKPSS1**] J.W. Cogdell, H. Kim, I.I. Piatetski–Shapiro and F. Shahidi, *On lifting from classical groups to GL_N,*, Publ. Math. IHES **93** (2001), 5–30.

[**CKPSS2**] ———, *Functoriality for classical groups, to appear.*

[**FG**] S. Friedberg and D. Goldberg, *On local coefficients for non-generic representations of some classical groups*, Compo. Math. **116** (1999), 133-166.

[**GPSR**] D. Ginzburg, I. Piatetski-Shapiro and S. Rallis, *L–functions for the orthogonal groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc **611** (1997).

[**JS**] D. Jiang and D. Soudry, *The local converse theorems for $SO(2n+1)$ and applications*, Ann. of Math(2) **157** (2003), 743-806.

[**K**] H. Kim, *Functoriality for the exterior square of GL_4 and symmetric fourth of GL_2*, preprint (2000).

[**KS**] H. Kim and F. Shahidi, *Functorial products for $GL_2 \times GL_3$ and the symmetric cube for GL_2*, Annals of Math. (to appear).

[**Sh1**] F. Shahidi, *On certain L-functions*, Amer. J. Math **103** (1981), 297–355.

[**Sh2**] ———, *Local coefficients as Artin factors for real groups.*, Duke Math. J. **52** (1985), 973–1007.

[**Sh3**] ———, *A proof of Langlands conjecture on Plancherel measures; Complementary series for p–adic groups*, Annals of Math. **132** (1990), 273–330.

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907
E-mail address: `goldberg@math.purdue.edu`